	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/843,227	NANNI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>finally rejected</u>		
(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.	(3)	
(2) <u>Lawrence Galvin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
*.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment which would, after entry of the amendment after final rejection filed May 24, 2004, place the application in condition for allowance (proposal faxed to applicants 07 July 2004); however, agreement could not be reached at this time.